

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPDICATION NO. 71 FILING DATE: / 12 HAD AND STREET NAMED INVENTOR 5 2565-136P

002292 - BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH P O BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER
BUDD, M

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
2834

DATE MAILED:

03/27/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks



UNITED S' "ES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and rademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

		TO STATES OF WH			
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE		ED APPLICANT	A	TTY. DOCKET NO.
				E	KAMINER
				ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				DATE MAILED:	
This is a communication f		charge of your application. MARKS			
		OFFICE ACTION	SUMMARY		
Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on	2-25-00	ڻ ڪ		
This action is FINAL.					
		llowance except for formal narte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 4		to the merits is	closed in
hichever is longer, from th	e mailing date of t	this action is set to expire _his communication. Failure S.C. § 133). Extensions of	to respond within the pe	eriod for response	will cause
isposition of Claims					
Claim(s)Of the above, claim(s)	2.3	s- 23			g in the application.
Claim(s)			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	is	s/are allowed.
					s/are rejected. re objected to.
Claim(s)					•
pplication Papers					
		s Patent Drawing Review, P			
The drawing(s) filed on					
The proposed drawing The specification is objective.				is [] approved	disapproved.
The oath or declaration	is objected to by t	he Examiner.			
iority under 35 U.S.C. §	119				
	de of a claim for fo	preign priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d).		
À All ☐ Some* ☐	None of the C	ERTIFIED copies of the prio	rity documents have be	en	
		ode/Serial Number) ation from the International E	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2)	 a)).	
*Certified copies not rece	eived:				
Acknowledgment is ma	de of a claim for d	omestic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e).		-
tachment(s)		, ,			
Notice of Reference Cit ■ Continuous States Notice of Reference Cit Notice Of Reference	ad DTO see				
Information Disclosure	cu, P10-892 Statement/c\)-1449, Paper No(s).	(12-8-98)		
who mation piactoante	Galemenus) PTC				
interview Summary, PT		1110,1 apor 110(b)			

-- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES--

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Art Unit: 2834

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims are vague and indefinite in that only a single acoustic device is defined i.e. one upper electrode and one lower electrode, thus how can a pattern shape be changed by position. That is, if there were two resonators each could have different shape/area electrodes, but this situation does not exhist with only a single resonator. If the claim is meant to merely state that one of the electrode was trimmed during manufacture, this is unclear. Also, such a statement would be structurally meaningless to the finished article (which does not care how it was formed). Claim 4 is vague and indefinite in that it contradicts parent claim 1. How can a single electrode include a plurality of electrodes? In claim 7: what is an air bridge?

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-15 (as understood) are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Vale, Many, Krishnaswarmy or Carson..

Further cited of interest are Weber and Suzuki (Thin film resonators); Fujiwara, Japan (804) and Japan (691) (electrode adjustment) and Wolfskill (fig. 7).

Serial Number: 09/202,070

Art Unit: 2834

Applicants traversal of the lack of unity of invention has been noted. Applicants state that each of claims 1, 15 and 16 contain the same special techincal feature" but have not actually

identified such a feature. Thus the lack of unity holding is seen to be valid and remains.

Budd/dc March 22, 2000

Page 3